CAPE COD NATIONAL SEASHORE ADVISORY COMMISSION THREE HUNDRED AND SECOND MEETING

HELD AT CAPE COD NATIONAL SEASHORE, Marconi Station Area, Park Headquarters, South Wellfleet, Massachusetts, on Monday, March 14, 2016, commencing at 1:11 p.m.

SITTING:

Richard Delaney, Chairman Larry Spaulding Lilli Green Joseph Craig Sheila Lyons Judith Stephenson Mary-Jo Avellar Maureen Burgess

Nat Goddard, alternate Bob Summersgill, alternate Kathleen Bacon, alternate

Also present:

George Price, Superintendent
Kathy Tevyaw, Deputy Superintendent
Robert Cook, Acting Chief of Natural Resources and Science
Mary Hake, Natural Resource Specialist
Courtney Butler, Centennial Volunteer Ambassador

Audience members

LINDA M. CORCORAN

CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER

P. O. Box 4

Kingston, Massachusetts 02364

(781) 585-8172

I N D E X

	<u> Page</u>
Adoption of Agenda	. 3
Approval of Minutes of Previous Meeting (January 11, 2016)	. 4
Reports of Officers	. 5
Reports of Subcommittees	. 6
Superintendent's Report	. 13
Update	. 26 . 28 . 43 . 49
Old Business	. 69
New Business	. 70
Date and Agenda for Next Meeting	. 70
Public Comment	. 73
Adjournment	. 75
Reporter's Certificate	. 77

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	MR. DELANEY: Take two. At this time we all have
3	to speak loudly and clearly and articulate well because
4	the machine might not be working as it is supposed to.
5	Okay, so with that said, I am pleased to call
6	together or call to order the 302nd meeting of the Cape
7	Cod National Seashore Advisory Commission.
8	ADOPTION OF AGENDA
9	MR. DELANEY: As always, you have an agenda sent
10	out in advance and minutes, and unless there are any
11	suggested changes, let's adopt the agenda.
12	MS. AVELLAR: So moved.
13	MS. GREEN: No.
14	MR. DELANEY: Not yet?
15	MS. GREEN: Not yet. On page 70
16	MS. LYONS: This is just the agenda.
17	MS. GREEN: The agenda? Oh, I'm sorry.
18	MR. DELANEY: I'm talking about the agenda.
19	MS. GREEN: Sorry.
20	MR. DELANEY: So I do have a motion, and we'll
21	accept a second.
22	MS. BURGESS: Second.
23	MS. STEPHENSON: Second.
24	MR. DELANEY: All those approve, signify by saying

1	aye.
2	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
3	MR. DELANEY: Okay, we'll work off this agenda.
4	APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING (JANUARY 11, 2016)
5	MR. DELANEY: And then we will have do the
6	minutes, and Lilli's about to make a comment on the
7	draft minutes.
8	What would you like to amend?
9	MS. GREEN: Page 70. At the bottom of the page,
10	Mary-Jo Avellar was clarifying that there was one
11	abstention. It was not Mary-Jo. It was me.
12	MR. DELANEY: Okay, all right. That's duly
13	noted.
14	Any other edits, changes, or corrections to the
15	draft minutes as printed?
16	(No response.)
17	MR. DELANEY: Okay, hearing none, let's take a vote
18	on approval of the minutes with that change.
19	All those in favor, signify by saying aye.
20	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
21	MR. DELANEY: Opposed?
22	(No response.)
23	MR. DELANEY: Good, all right. And those were the
24	minutes from January 11.

1	REPORTS OF OFFICERS
2	MR. DELANEY: Now, reports from officers. I
3	believe there are none, but I will use this opportunity
4	to introduce to us, to you Kathleen Bacon, who is back
5	here. And she's been recently nominated by Wellfleet to
6	be the alternate to this group.
7	So thank you for volunteering for that.
8	MS. BACON: Thank you.
9	MR. DELANEY: This is your first meeting. You'll
10	see we have a flow to it. Most of the alternates are at
11	the table I mean, the delegates are at the table. If
12	not, we hope you'd be available to step in when needed.
13	And we also have a chance for alternates to contribute
14	during the discussion on certain things.
15	MS. BACON: I'll just go with the flow. Thank you.
16	MR. DELANEY: Good, great. Sounds great.
17	REPORTS OF SUBCOMMITTEES
18	MR. DELANEY: So how about reports from
19	subcommittee chairs? As always, Maureen, would you like
20	to give us an update on the Pilgrim Nuclear Plant
21	Emergency Planning Subcommittee?
22	MS. BURGESS: Sure. Can I just say a word about
23	Nickerson first?

MR. DELANEY: Oh, sorry, Nickerson. Thank you.

24

NICKERSON FUND UPDATE

MS. BURGESS: We met this morning on the Nickerson Fellowship Committee, and we had six proposals. And we were able to -- we have a fund of \$3,000 to work with, so it's not a big pot of money. It's always good if someone would like to contribute to the Nickerson. Via the Friends at the Cape Cod National Seashore, you can earmark your contribution for the Nickerson.

We were able to fully fund one and offer partial funding to another. So Sophia Fox will be letting those winners know, so I can't reveal who they are until they're notified.

UPDATE OF PILGRIM NUCLEAR PLANT EMERGENCY PLANNING

SUBCOMMITTEE

MS. BURGESS: With regard to the Pilgrim Nuclear Planning Subcommittee, as you know, the focus has been on the recent information that they will be closing down in 2019. Of course, the concern for many people is what is going to happen to fix the flaws that are currently in the plant and with regard to operations before that time and, also, what is going to be put in place in terms of making sure they've raised enough money to decommission properly so that the taxpayers don't get —have to foot that bill.

1 So I wanted to update you on a couple of things. 2 Number one -- I did give you two handouts. With regard 3 to the decommissioning, for your information, on March 23 at the Plymouth Public Library at 7 p.m. there's going to be a decommissioning forum focusing on who 5 should pay and just trying to keep the focus on Entergy 6 7 having their feet held to the fire to make sure that they stay on target in terms of proper funding for 8 9 decommissioning so they don't walk away and it falls to the taxpayers. There is an extended dead-- -- so I 10 11 encourage anybody to go. I have a phone number for the 12 library, if you'd like, I can give you after the 13 meeting.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The NRC extended the deadline for decommissioning comments up until March 18, so it's coming up. This was a first step towards developing a regulatory basis for a new rule on decommissioning commercial nuclear power plants. And those comments, again, I have a link if you'd like to refer to the docket number where you can make your comments up until that time.

You will recall that we as an advisory commission have supported bills by both Representative Peake and Senator Wolf around Pilgrim, and we have written letters in support. And in the fall I did represent you by

testifying up on Beacon Hill in support of one of

Senator Wolf's bills.

I wanted to give you an update. Let me start with the good news. It looks like the Peake and Ferrante bill, House Bill No. 2167, an act relative to emergency planning, has moved out of committee, and it looks like it has a chance to pass. Specifically, it directs MEMA — that's Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency — to assess and report on the preparedness plans for a radiological accident at both Pilgrim and Seabrook. So that would include not only emergency planning zoning and evaluation of current procedures, but the entire gamut. And it would require them to make their plan public, and if it is reviewed by the Governor and he finds it deficient, he has the right to send it back and have it re-looked at to reject it basically. So at least that's something.

With regard to Sarah Peake's other bills, H.230 -2030, that was the Department of Public Health funding
for radiological monitoring outside of the immediate
area around Pilgrim, which would include Cape Cod. That
has been -- it looks like it's been extended for further
study, which basically means rest in peace. It's not
going anywhere. The one with regard to -- including

1 extending the emergency planning zone to 50 miles, that 2 is still -- I quess there is a possibility that that 3 might be, but I haven't -- has anyone heard anything with regard to that? 5 I know that the deadline to move bills MS. GREEN: 6 out of committee is Wednesday the end of the day, this 7 week Wednesday. MS. BURGESS: So it's not looking too good on 8 either of those. 9 10 And then Dan Wolf's Bill 1797, which would have 11 imposed a \$10,000 fee on each bundle of spent fuel rods, 12 that has been put out for further study. There's a fear of challenge of preemption because the federal 13 14 government has the right of preemption over what the 15 state requires. So that doesn't look like it's going to 16 move, but the one which imposes a \$25 million fee to put in escrow monies for decommissioning looks like it may 17 18 have a better chance. So that's where we are with those bills. 19 20 Oh, one big thing that happened was that seven NRC 21 engineers, Nuclear Regulatory Commission engineers, 22 filed a petition with their employer to either correct 23 or shut down all 99 plants in the U.S. due to a design flaw in the electrical power system, and that system is 24

needed to cool the reactor core. And they've asked for a response from the NRC by March 21. So local activists in response to that submitted a petition to the federal regulators calling on them to fix that same flaw in the Pilgrim plant, and that was written by Mary Lampert, president of the Pilgrim Watch, and co-signed by organizations which we are familiar with like Cape Downwinders, Jones River Watershed, Duxbury Nuclear Advisory Committee.

So I think those are the essentials. I probably have been following in the Cape Cod Times, you know, the continued problems with operations at Pilgrim, so I won't enumerate all of them because Christine Legere has been doing a good job on keeping us up to date on that.

So unless anybody has any questions or if Sheila or Lilli want to chime in.

MS. LYONS: I don't really have any questions. I think that they're doing a good job, and the *Times* has done a very good job of keeping the focus on this, but in addition, if anybody has picked it up, there have been a couple of documentaries on PBS. One was just recently about the Fukushima fifth anniversary -- and that was really an eye-opening experience -- and how that is draining into the drinking water and their

1	efforts to contain that right from the beginning. And I
2	think there's a reporter who's going to continue
3	reporting on this. He just his name is escaping me,
4	but he was in the field in the Middle East. And he had
5	an accident and had his arm blown off, if anybody
6	remembers this.
7	MS. STEPHENSON: Miles O'Brien.
8	MS. LYONS: Miles O'Brien, that's right. There he
9	is. So Miles O'Brien is going to be continuing his
10	focus on this, so it is going to be I think all this
11	month. And it is eye-opening, it is frightening, and I
12	think it's right in line of what we're looking at here.
13	Thankfully, it is not a disaster that we're facing, but
14	all of those conditions, you know, we've all feared that
15	it's been seeping into our airways, our waterways. I'm
16	sure it is in slow trickles. So it's all timely. Just
17	an FYI.
18	MR. DELANEY: Are there any thoughts? Judy?
19	MS. STEPHENSON: Maureen, I didn't understand.
20	Who's petitioning the nuclear regulatory agency?
21	MS. BURGESS: So a group of so seven engineers
22	that work for the NRC
23	MS. STEPHENSON: They themselves?
24	MS. BURGESS: They themselves petitioned their

1	employers at the NRC and said basically, "Fix them or
2	shut them down. This is very serious." And they have
3	till March they're hoping to have a response by March
4	21, but there are people, you know, in our area like
5	Pilgrim Coalition, Pilgrim Watch who really stay on top
6	of this, and they immediately, some of these groups,
7	pulled together their own petition, which they sent to
8	the NRC to support it.
9	MS. STEPHENSON: Does the NRC have a balanced a
10	full board?
11	MS. BURGESS: Yeah, there's what?
12	MS. STEPHENSON: Well, they wanted to appoint
13	someone and Republicans were stalling this? Does anyone
14	know what the status of the board is?
15	MS. BURGESS: I don't I thought they were fully
16	staffed. I could be wrong.
17	MS. STEPHENSON: I'm out of date, so I
18	MS. BURGESS: I know that their last two chairmen
19	both recommended not starting Pilgrim up again, and they
20	both were voted down. They both were in opposition to
21	relicensing Pilgrim for another 40 years. Jaczko and
22	then a woman that followed him.
23	MR. DELANEY: And then she resigned.

1	MR. DELANEY: Does everyone have this?
2	MS. BURGESS: I did pass out a couple of things.
3	MR. DELANEY: Check in your packet.
4	MS. BURGESS: Look at your packet.
5	MS. STEPHENSON: No, my packet's empty.
6	MS. BURGESS: I gave you a handout.
7	MS. STEPHENSON: Under the press clippings?
8	MS. BURGESS: Yeah.
9	MS. STEPHENSON: No.
10	MR. DELANEY: Judy, it's an article on the seven
11	engineers who made the statement
12	MS. STEPHENSON: Okay, thank you.
13	MR. DELANEY: about their to their employer.
14	I mean, these are seven engineers.
15	Okay, other comments on Plymouth nuclear power
16	plant and the good work of our subcommittee led by
17	Maureen?
18	(No response.)
19	MR. DELANEY: Okay, thank you. Hearing none, let's
20	move on in the agenda to the Superintendent's Report.
21	MR. PRICE: Thank you.
22	SUPERINTENDENT'S REPORT
23	STORM DAMAGE/EROSION UPDATE

MR. PRICE: So I wanted to bring up some storm

24

damage updates. This is not new information. It seems
like the last several years I've been talking about
storm damage, but this year both topics or both areas
are pretty extraordinary.

Last month we talked about our field trip that we made to the Nauset Light Beach in Eastham. I brought everybody down there because we're thinking very seriously about trying to move forward with actually demolishing those facilities after this summer season. They're not even on the list for replacement until 2019, and that's if that priority holds. So that will be something different. And part of the issue is that we've had such severe erosion of the bluff at that particular location.

Since I reported this to you all in January, it's even gotten more dire, and we've been down there numerous times. Last week I went down with Graham Giese and Mark Borrelli, the coastal scientists from the Center for Coastal Studies. And now the septic tank is within 17 feet of the bluff itself, which is pretty concerning.

So you have to realize that this is an area that when I first came here we were regularly switching out the stairs of that every three, four, five years. Well,

now this is the fourth year in a row. Last year we had 1 2 18 feet of erosion at that particular location. I don't 3 even know how many feet it's been so far this year, but it just is pretty dramatic. And I spoke with Graham 4 because if you take a look over the cliff, it's not just 5 6 at that particular spot where the stairs are. 7 probably about a mile swath where it's just extraordinary cutting. And when you look over the edge, 8 9 you can see that there's like 15-20 yards' worth of vegetation has fallen over that is either on the bluff 10 11 or is on the beach, and yet up here at the Marconi Beach 12 it's accreting sand. So that beach is getting wider. Those stairs are well protected. At Coast Guard Beach, 13 14 it hasn't changed very much. I was just there again on 15 Saturday. So if you remember, Coast Guard Beach and 16 Marconi Beach aren't that far apart. So right in the 17 middle is Nauset, and that section is really getting 18 hammered. So Graham was saying that part of it is that at 19 20 this particular time the majority of the energy that's 21 coming off of the North Atlantic and facing the entire 22 Cape is just focused on that location. He can tell by 23 looking at it, and they're going to do some more

research. There just doesn't seem to be any sandbars

24

off -- off the beach. Normally the wave action takes the sand off the beach. We have a winter beach. The sandbars line up right beyond the breakers. You can see various lines of breakers happening before it actually gets to the shoreline. That's not happening at Nauset. So it's really given us this extraordinary amount of energy at that particular location.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So Kathy Tevyaw and I have regularly been speaking with our regional office and trying to solicit some support for emergency funding and to figure out what we're going to do with this season, figure out how to do the demolition and ultimately the relocation of the facilities. Thrown in there we're also trying to get some support to explore the removable stair option, and I absolutely understand how people believe, "Well, that's a commonsense thing. Why didn't you do that years ago?" Well, for one thing, for the cost of the stairs, for the cost of what removable stairs will cost, if you only replaced it once every three, four, five years, it wasn't that much. It was expendable construction. But now if it's an every year thing, obviously that ups the ante, and therefore, it makes it more interesting to examine it. The other reason it's not all that easy to figure, however, is all the designs we've looked at still require a substantial base on top of the bluff. So understand we don't just lose the stairs, but we lose the bluff. So if you've invested in a foundation on top and it gets undermined, well, then that's no longer viable either.

So there's no easy solutions here at all, but if you haven't been down to Nauset Light, take a look. Our staff just removed the rest of the boardwalk that had been going down to the walkway that is closest to the bluff. We left part of that boardwalk because people could at least go out a little bit further for a viewing platform, and now that's undermined. So it's very, very impressive and a little bit scary for sure.

So we're facing a couple of things; number one, to make sure that we've got a safe, viable facility for this season and then, number two, getting support from our regional office to move ahead with the demolition after the season and come up with interim plans until we get a new facility constructed on there. So for those of you that were on the field trip last time, it's even more dire today than it was then.

Moving to the Herring Cove North parking lot, it's a similar story in that years ago we just had intermittent dimples, if you will, that needed repairs.

The last several years in a row we've had to provide major repairs to the revetment area at Herring Cove North. What's happened now is a little bit different scenario in that this year there's so much damage at Herring Cove North, the cost of a single repair just for the summer would be as much as almost \$800,000. And up until now we've been spending two hundred, two hundred and fifty, three hundred thousand dollars. People have said to me, "Even with that amount, is that a wise use of money to just do a single repair?" And in my opinion for serving the visitors, it absolutely is. However, now if it's as high as seven hundred and seventy-five or eight hundred, even I have a problem really putting that in for a repair knowing it's only for one year.

So we're in the process of discussions with our Washington offices and the Philadelphia offices of staying more in the category of what they've given us in the past but letting us try to figure out how to repair as much as we can for that dollar amount, to figure out how many of the spaces we can actually keep open, and then publicize that "This is what we're all facing, folks. This is nature." And we still have high hopes that the permanent fix, which you all have -- I think everybody is familiar with, but we went through that

1 several-year vet, that year-long process. We now have a 2 fix that would, you know, move it back the 125 feet and 3 give us something that we believe will have a 50-year That project is about \$5 million, and we lifespan. understand that priority is still on the list for 2018. 5 So we can -- we feel like we can almost glimpse that, 6 7 and in speaking with our offices, the Denver office and the Washington and the Philadelphia offices are 8 9 activating the pieces that need to be activated now for the 2018 project. 10

4

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So we have a project manager. We'll be going to our design advisory board in July. So all the preliminary things that have to put a project in place are happening. So even though the 2018 project as well as the 2019 project are not cast in stone, priorities can change, especially if there's another Hurricane Sandy or something that we have to react to nationally, but I'm feeling very good about that priority list. So in light of a 2018 total fix, I think I'm looking at something that's less than a full fix. I have no information at this point how much emergency funding we'll actually get this year at all. So it's still totally up in the air. We wouldn't even be able to make a total assessment of the total damage probably until

May, anticipating there's still some potential nor'easters that can come in and do some damage, which we've had experience with.

So the only good news is that we can say we definitely will still have the parking lot open in the Herring Cove North. We just are not sure at this point how many spaces we would have compared to what's normally there on a regular basis. So I met with the town manager the other day. I wanted to make sure that you all knew what I was thinking. Mary-Jo, Kathy, and I met, and then we had a field trip this morning for a number of you to actually go out and see what we're talking about.

So I think we're just -- you know, as I use the term, we're at ground zero for sea level rise and climate change, and we're dealing with facilities in the case of Herring Cove North that have been in place for a real long time. And we learned through the process of what the new one should look like that's -- that's a facility that's long seen its day as far as being in that location and being that type of construction, and now we're looking for alternatives that I think will have a longer term service to the visiting public.

So that's about where we are. So as I've said,

1	both of these conversations are more conversational.
2	I'm not reporting to you exactly what we're doing and
3	what we have in hand, but I felt it's important to let
4	you all know and let the communities know what we're
5	thinking at this time.
6	MR. DELANEY: George, can you be a little bit more
7	specific with it being on the list for 2018? Is that
8	fiscal year '18?
9	MR. PRICE: Yes.
10	MR. DELANEY: Does that mean construction might
11	happen and the beach would be open in 2018 or be
12	repaired during '18, open in the summer of 2019?
13	MR. PRICE: No, we believe that we would schedule
14	it so that the construction would happen during the off-
15	season, similar to what happened with the bathhouse.
16	MR. DELANEY: So the summer of 2018 it could be
17	potentially
18	MR. PRICE: Open.
19	MR. DELANEY: open?
20	MR. PRICE: That would be the plan, and that's what
21	we would strive for again.
22	MR. DELANEY: Good.
23	MR. PRICE: So that's, in fact, what happened with
24	the bathhouse.

1 MR. DELANEY: Mary-Jo?

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. AVELLAR: When George gave the town manager this handout, I counted that there are 210 spaces out there, and 121 of them are anywhere from fair to serious -- poor to serious condition, so more than half of the It's of great concern to the Town of Provincetown because of the proximity that people can actually get to the beach. It's our most important beach. It's the only beach where you can just pull up right now. People are going out there looking for whales, doing the sunset, all the things that we do out I'm going to recommend to the board of selectmen tonight that they -- and I'm not going to use the vernacular in this meeting, although I did use it in the other meeting, but somebody's got to kick somebody's butt upstairs to get this thing moving because when Delahunt and Studds were our representatives in Congress, when we had problems with the Seashore, we got action right away. And I'm not seeing any action, and I'm very distressed by this whole situation. I can only imagine how people in Nauset must feel, but you've got a septic system situation which makes it even worse.

So the selectmen all have this. I imagine I can arrange for (inaudible) to get a copy of it, but it's

1	very grim. It's very grim.
2	MR. DELANEY: Sheila?
3	MS. LYONS: I just wanted to go back to the Nauset
4	situation with the septic. So it's 17 feet to the
5	beach.
6	MR. PRICE: To the bluff.
7	MS. LYONS: To the bluff. So are you going to be
8	able to address that this year?
9	MR. PRICE: Two things. Number one, as you look at
10	the facility, the constructive end to the building is
11	the edge of the ladies' dressing room.
12	MS. LYONS: Right.
13	MR. PRICE: The septic box, the actual septic tank
14	is closer to the bluff than the edge of the building is.
15	MS. LYONS: That's pretty close.
16	MR. PRICE: So right now we have if you go out
17	there, you'll see one of these snow guides in the
18	bushes. That's on top of the manhole cover for the
19	septic tank. So that's the thing that's 17 feet from
20	the bushes as of last Thursday.
21	MS. STEPHENSON: What does a snow guide look like?
22	MS. TEVYAW: It's like the red and white poles.
23	MR. PRICE: Yeah, it's like the pole that you put
24	up for your driveway.

1	MS. LYONS: Yeah, the marker that sticks into.
2	MR. PRICE: Yeah, that was just handy.
3	MS. STEPHENSON: I didn't know what the thing is
4	out there that then has little pointers out on the bluff
5	at Coast Guard.
6	MR. PRICE: That's Coast Guard Beach.
7	MS. STEPHENSON: Yeah, I just wondered what that
8	thing was.
9	MR. PRICE: That's a radiological research project
10	going on with a university.
11	Now, so this is just the snow guide which is right
12	down there. It's not easy to see if you're from a
13	distance, but that certainly shows you how close we are
14	to the bluff. So we could actually get pretty close to
15	that because it's a tight tank literally, but basically
16	the septic material goes out of the bathhouses to the
17	tank and then flows back in the opposite direction, so
18	under where the stairs are. That's where the leach
19	field is. So the leach field is not affected to the
20	bluff base, but that septic tank basically is our most
21	critical thing.
22	So if we had a couple of serious storms between now
23	and Memorial Day and it became exposed, then we'd
24	probably be shutting that down. People have said to me,

1	"Well, what about relocating or redoing that?" We
2	believe that would be an extraordinary amount of money
3	to try to relocate the septic tank, again, knowing that
4	the whole facility needs to be demolished.
5	MR. DELANEY: Mary-Jo?
6	MS. AVELLAR: Has it been drained?
7	MR. PRICE: No.
8	MS. AVELLAR: Can you get like one of those
9	cesspool truck guys out there to drain the tank?
10	MR. PRICE: Well, if we're using the facility this
11	season, we'd still be using it.
12	MS. AVELLAR: I see. But if you drained the tank
13	and used Porta-Potties instead?
14	MR. PRICE: That would be the option. That's what
15	we would have to do, and obviously it would have to be
16	drained before it was removed anyway.
17	MS. AVELLAR: Right.
18	MS. LYONS: At the Salt Pond, you have a natural
19	lavatory system.
20	MR. PRICE: Actually, what it is, is it was an
21	advanced filtering system for its day. I wouldn't call
22	it natural, okay? So in 2005 we totally redid the Salt
23	Pond Visitors Center mostly because the septic system
24	was failing, which was a very traditional system. So

1	all the material goes to a large vat, a very large thing
2	with all these filters in it. And it filters the
3	material until the sensors demonstrate that it's very
4	low nitrate, and then that's what gets sent out into the
5	leach field, which is basically the entire front lawn of
6	the Visitors Center, high in the soil so it evaporates
7	quickly.
8	MS. LYONS: Correct, it's being soaked up by the
9	MR. PRICE: So we've been working with the county,
10	George Heufelder and others on monitoring that over time
11	to try to determine if it's doing what we had hoped it
12	was going to do.
13	MS. LYONS: And? Do we have those results? It is?
14	MR. PRICE: We're still working on it.
15	MS. LYONS: Yeah, it's going to take a long time.
16	MR. PRICE: Yeah.
17	MR. DELANEY: So two just to keep our eyes on.
18	Any other questions on those that report?
19	(No response.)
20	MR. DELANEY: George, please continue with your
21	shorebird management plan.
22	MR. PRICE: Sure.
23	SHOREBIRD MANAGEMENT PLAN/ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT UPDATE
24	MR. PRICE: Just a couple of things. So people

have asked me what's the next steps on the shorebird management plan. As you know, we received something like 2,000 comments. We've actually had to extend our contract with the contractor that's working with us on that in order to even assess and analyze the information that we've received. It looks as if we're looking at September at this point for a full debrief and analysis to figure out what our next steps are, and that would probably be the earliest for what's called a record of decision, depending on which way we ultimately decide to go.

So it's a lot of comments that we received. It's my understanding -- I think at the last meeting I was asked about a state plan that had just come out. Their comment period closed. I understand they received about 160 comments, and I know we did a similar program down in New Jersey. I think they got six. So we obviously have a population that's very interested in what we're doing, and we're getting a lot of feedback, for sure.

So we have to take a look at those. A lot of the comments were very simple, just agreeing with one position or another, and then some were very elaborate, multi pages. Some people spent a lot of time going through with a lot of -- a lot of edits from their

1	perspective. So it's nothing that we're going to be
2	able to do quickly.
3	MR. DELANEY: Question on that one? Mary-Jo?
4	MS. AVELLAR: The board of selectmen or, no,
5	it's a petitioned article in the town meeting based on
6	this plan that Provincetown be a cruelty-free zone.
7	FYI.
8	MR. PRICE: Okay.
9	NATURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT PROJECTS - BATS
10	MR. PRICE: One of the issues that I wanted to talk
11	about is the natural resource management. We spend a
12	lot of time talking about erosion. We spend a lot of
13	time talking about shorebird management, but I did want
14	you all to you're very familiar with a lot of the
15	projects that our folks are involved with, and in our
16	world we spend a lot of time talking about bats, whether
17	it's the diseases that they're involved with or the
18	population numbers that we're talking about. So I asked
19	Dr. Bob Cook to give us an update of the types of things
20	that we're doing and what he's involved in.
21	MR. COOK: Thank you, George.
22	Thank you, Committee, for having me here today.
23	Before I get started, I just want to give credit

that what I have here is a slide presentation that I was

24

able to get from researchers who are at State University
of New York College of Environmental Science and
Forestry in Syracuse. That's what SUNY stands for,
State University of New York.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And so before we get into this, I just want to mention the upland habitats of the National Seashore actually make up about three-quarters of the total area of the Park. I'm talking about that area that is above the high tide line. So most of the Park is actually upland habitat. So though, as George would -- comments apply, we seem to spend most of our time talking about what's happening below the tide line rather than above the tide line. And for good reason. But also I wanted to mention that it's these upland habitats that are really a large reason why the Park supports such an abundance of plant and animal species. The Park has a pretty significant biodiversity, as we call it in conservation science, and it's largely due to the upland habitats, although the combination of marine and upland really kind of gives us a good synergy for that.

So anyway, the bats represent one of the wildlife groups that we know very little about because, among other reasons, they're one of the more difficult groups of animals to try and study. If they were as easy to

study as mice or rabbits, we know a lot more about them, but unfortunately, they're not.

So first off, why do we care about bats? As I mentioned, they are part of the native species wildlife diversity that the Park has been established as one of the reasons why. Also, their presence and the health of their populations are indicators of the health of the ecosystem. They do play a major role in regulating insect populations, although animals that regulate populations generally aren't necessarily going to eliminate insects, but they're going to kind of keep the population levels in check. And they help with pollination, seed dispersal, and unfortunately, many of the populations now are facing extinction in the Northeast.

So what do we know about Seashore bats? Very little. One of the very first studies of bat migration, however, took place at the Highland Light and was published in 1890 and is one of the first studies to document the phenomenon of bat migration in late summer. A study was done in the mid-'70s of the Outer Cape in general and noted that the Northern long-eared bat was the most abundant of the summer resident species here on the Outer Cape. And, you know, 15 or so years ago there

were studies in Martha's Vineyard and Camp Edwards, not

here in the Seashore but nearby, showing that these

things -- these species were present here in the

vicinity of the Seashore.

Okay, so the extinction threat, disease was discovered maybe ten years ago called white-nose syndrome, discovered in bat hibernation caves near Albany, New York. Recently discovered, it's been recently determined that it's a species of fungus that was originated from Europe, and it's believed that it was transported to North America through human agencies. So essentially it's an exotic disease, a non-native disease. So all of our North American native species have never had any exposure to it. Kind of like smallpox in the Native Americans type of thing. So as a result, there have been huge declines in the populations of bats in the Northeast.

So what we have here, for example, the long-eared bat population in New England is estimated to be down about 90 percent. It's been listed by the federal government as a threatened species. It's listed as an endangered species by the state of Massachusetts. And similarly, the little brown bat, which most of us grew up thinking were sort of the -- so common they were the

equivalent of a field mouse, they are now an endangered
species in the state of Massachusetts.

And you can see these are the individuals with the white-nose syndrome. That's the fungus growing on the nose, and it ends up killing the vast majority of individuals that come up with the disease, although not all. Some individuals do survive, so maybe there's some hope that enough will survive and that can help repopulate things.

So this represents really the first time there have ever been any formalized bat inventories done here at the National Seashore. And we're sort of starting out very general, just want to understand the presence, abundance, if possible, habitat use, habitat presence, get some sense if we can of white-nose syndrome, and try to hopefully begin to locate important points on the landscape for bats like maternity roofs and hibernation sites, if they exist here. And I'll have more about that in a minute.

So the methods that are used for bats -- and this is probably why they're not that readily studied -- mist netting is the way that is often used to capture bats.

And I'm sure here folks are familiar with gill nets.

Mist net is basically a terrestrial adaptation of a gill

net. They're also used to capture songbirds when people are trying to ban them for studies. They're basically set up in areas where the bats will fly into them and get tangled up in them, and they're monitored on a very frequent basis unlike gill nets that are constantly monitored so that an animal is not in the net for very long because the whole purpose is to identify the animals, capture them, collect information on age, sex, reproductive condition, whether the female is nursing or not, and in some instances to put radio transmitters on them.

So here are some of the results of what we're -and the work last year was really pretty much limited to
June and July. It was the first year, so we're just
really kind of getting started yet. But you can see the
big brown bat was the overwhelming majority of
individuals captured, a small number of red bats, and
eight Northern long-eared bats, which compared to other
areas in the interior where the long-eared bat
populations have really suffered from white-nose
syndrome, this is a lot of long-eared bats compared to
those areas, although from what I understand Martha's
Vineyard folks there now are only capturing small
numbers relative to what they did 20 years ago.

So anyway, this is the long-eared bat. It's now listed as federally threatened, Massachusetts endangered. It's vulnerable to white-nose syndrome, but the populations here on the Cape, the Islands, and Long Island, basically these glacial outwash habitats that we're all familiar with, they seem to be doing a little bit less badly here than elsewhere in the interior.

So the radio tags are attached to the back of the animal, little tiny tags that are glued to the back of the bats if they're large enough to carry the weight. They're good for about 15 days before the batteries give out and/or they fall off. So they do provide some information on where these animals are going in the daytime. And what they have found when they have tracked these bats during the daytime to see where they're spending the day is that the majority of individuals are in the cracks and crevices on the exterior of houses. Some of them are making use of naturally occurring trees, but they seem to have, I'll say, a like for the structures.

The second part of the work has to do with looking at the presence and habitat use of bats using recording equipment, acoustic sampling. These are basically recorders that are set up on any given site for a couple

1	of rounds of sampling, and they take advantage of the
2	fact that bats echolocate. And they use echolocation
3	for detecting and capturing their prey at night but also
4	for just informing themselves about the world around
5	them so they don't fly into things at night. So they've
6	had echolocation, a form of sonar, for quite a long
7	time. So these acoustic sampling devices are set up at
8	a series of points throughout the Park, and they're left
9	out overnight for several nights in order to record the
10	sound of bat vocalizations, which are all high
11	frequency. Units can't hear that range.
12	MR. DELANEY: Bob, is the system able to detect the
13	sound of different species of bats?
14	MR. COOK: Right, right. They've got computer
15	software that helps in identifying bat vocalizations and
16	does the first cut towards identifying to species, and
17	then one of the researchers will go and review any
18	record that appears to be a bat and visually look at it.
19	So I'll get into that.
20	MR. DELANEY: Okay.
21	MR. COOK: So basically what these methods produce
22	are these sonograms, and they can match the sonograms
23	that they've recorded in their field data against
24	reference collections of known individual sonograms from

individuals that they know have been positively

identified. So it's a two -- two process, first

mechanical and then unit confirm things.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So I guess they were able to come up with 869 calls that they were able to identify to the species, I guess. What that would mean to me is that not every time they recorded a bat they were able to identify it to the exact species, so those records don't necessarily get counted.

And just to give you a breakdown of the calls by species, this is the big brown bat. So kind of consistent with the mist netting data, it was by and large the most common species that were being found Second largest were silver-haired bat, and I'm here. not sure what the dates of this species being recorded There might have been some fall recording data were. that I'm not positive because this is generally thought of as a migratory species through the area. But anyway, we've got the silver-haired bat, the hoary bat, which also is typically a species that migrates through the Cape Cod region. The red bat, that appears to be one of our summer species, and here is the long-eared bat with 35 calls. So certainly the long-eared bat is not the dominant species here, which I suppose is consistent

with it being its threatened status right now.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Just to give you a little bit more detail, this is kind of a breakdown by habitat type of where the calls were recorded for the big brown bat, and you can see it kind of occurs in a broad range of habitat types whereas the long-eared bat almost all of their recordings were coming from forest habitats. One thing -- and I should preface this by telling you I know lots about frogs and snakes and turtles. I know not so much about bats, so I'm learning a lot in all of this as I go. What I've learned is that the long-eared bat -- we think of bats as flying around catching insects, moths, mosquitoes on the wing. The long-eared bat I'm told doesn't feed so much by flying but by gleaning. It likes to land on trees, and then it just walks around on the trees and eats the insects off the surface of the tree. It's a process called gleaning. So the bats are eating not just the flying insects but also the insects that are hanging out on the forest trees as well, but apparently they do not like to come out into the open. They like to stay inside a nice closed canopy forest where they feed and where they're less vulnerable to predators at night.

And this is just sort of a breakdown by habitat

categories. This is a little bit confusing, but the --there's a little bit more to that. It's not showing up. ENF, these are woodland habitats, and what they're showing -- and this is a scrub oak. What it's basically showing is the habitat specialization of the long-eared bat, this species here, where some of these other species are much more generalized in coming out. ones that are feeding out in the open on flying insects are not limited to the forest. They occur through a broad range of habitats whereas the Northern long-eared bat is a forest specialist species.

So what this work is going to be going — this was the first year so far of what now we have been successful in getting what will amount to four years' worth of funding, so we will have four years of research. The first two years are going to focus on what's happening in the summertime. The last two years are going to focus on fall/winter activities. One of the big hypotheses about why are long-eared bats seemingly doing well along the coast compared to inland is there have been little tidbits of discoveries in the last few years as well as some historic accounts of long-eared bats spending the winter in human structures. And so this kind of suggests that not all long-eared

1	bats migrate inland to spend the winter in a cave. And
2	what this would mean is that if the long-eared bats are
3	spending their time that live on the coast or
4	hibernating locally, they're avoiding exposure to white-
5	nose syndrome by avoiding those caves. Those caves are
6	bad places to go to because of all of the white-nose
7	syndrome. So kind of the Holy Grail will be putting
8	radios on long-eared bats in the fall and following them
9	and documenting that they are, in fact, spending their
10	winters here on Cape Cod perhaps in a structure of some
11	sort. And this seems to be or is thought to be the
12	reason why they're doing relatively well here on Cape
13	Cod. So that's what the last two years of this work
14	will lead up to.
15	And that's it. Thank you for this chance to speak
16	to you.
17	MR. DELANEY: Thanks, Bob.
18	MR. COOK: Yes?
19	MR. SPAULDING: Has there been any thought about
20	putting up any bat houses to locate in areas where they
21	seem to be spending their time?
22	MR. COOK: That's a good there has been thought.
23	We haven't kind of gotten all the way to that, and
24	there's also you know, if this turns out if this

1	hypothesis turns out to be true, thoughts about what
2	might be done to create these sorts of hibernation
3	spaces for them. And certainly ideally anytime you can
4	get a species like that that you can both help it and
5	influence where it spends its time in a way that you can
6	manage it, it works out better for everyone because then
7	they wouldn't be roosting in inconvenient places, but we
8	just don't know. There have been a lot of anecdotes of
9	not beach beach umbrellas. Or you know the
10	umbrellas you put over your picnic table?
11	MR. SPAULDING: Sure, I've had one.
12	MR. COOK: There's a lot have you had bats in
13	MR. SPAULDING: Yeah.
14	MR. COOK: Yeah, I've been talking to George about
15	
16	MR. SPAULDING: It surprised the heck out of me
17	when you come up and you open the umbrella and a bat
18	flies out.
19	MR. COOK: Yeah. I've been talking about going to
20	all of the transfer stations and getting all the
21	discarded umbrellas and setting up a field full of
22	umbrellas up here as a bat project.
23	MR. DELANEY: That's not a bad idea.
24	Mary-Jo?

1	MS. AVELLAR: Is there any way of getting rid of
2	the white-nose syndrome? Is there any nothing you
3	can do?
4	MS. LYONS: Is there any progress?
5	MR. COOK: Not from a veterinary point of view that
6	I'm aware of. The thing is, the white-nose syndrome
7	really requires a cold environment. It's referred to as
8	a cold-loving fungus. So it would not necessarily do
9	nearly as well here on the coast as it does in the deep
10	recesses of the Cape. It needs that cold, moist
11	environment in the cave, so it could be that, you know,
12	the climate and the relatively warmer climate on the
13	coast is also helping to keep it at bay.
14	But anyway, this is all very, very preliminary
15	stuff anyway.
16	Thank you.
17	MR. DELANEY: Thank you very much. Just
18	MR. PRICE: Thank you very much. I appreciate
19	him doing this. I wanted to do this for a couple of
20	reasons. Number one, obviously our staff is spending
21	time on this research project, which hadn't been done
22	here in a long time. And number two, as an indicator
23	species, the same way we're looking at the shoreline
24	damage, which is indication of what's going on on our

natural sciences and whether it's our large inventory monitoring program or this kind of a project, it rounds out the types of things that the National Park Service is doing to try to see really the health, the finger on the pulse of what's happening in our environment. So I thought this was a good opportunity to share with us.

Frankly, at the next meeting, another opportunity might be Dr. Steve Smith talking about the wetlands. He's been doing a lot of research about the salt marshes. We've being doing a lot of time out in the field measuring salt marsh, the heights, and all of that, and frankly, there's some pretty startling, if not concerning, future projections with sea level rise with what's going on with our marshes. So I was planning for my next Superintendent's Report to do that kind of thing to keep you all kind of up to date on these disparatetype programs, but as a whole, it really tells us what's going on here on Cape Cod.

MR. DELANEY: George, speaking for the committee, I think we all appreciate having those kinds of reports from the vast array of science that's going on in the Park. It's really -- we're anxious to learn, and thank you for doing that on a routine basis.

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE CENTENNIAL

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. PRICE: Going through my report, I just wanted to reiterate that, although this is the centennial year of the creation of the National Park Service, it was not the first national park. That was in 1872, but in 1916, the same year that our famous volunteer, Russ Moore, was born, your National Park Service was created and brought together the whole parks into the system.

Here at the Seashore we're doing a number of programs. We've talked about the Healthy Parks, Healthy People, which is going to be expanded with Cape Cod We have a number of events and exhibits happening. We might get the famous Rich Delaney talking about his exploits at the Paris World Environmental Conference sometime in May in conjunction with our environmental quilt exhibit. We are in the process of doing a number of facelift projects. This morning our field trip took a little diversion. We saw the new paving work that's being done up at the Race Point lot. We have new paving work happening out here at the Marconi Beach facility, and we've just nailed down that we will have the amphitheater totally reconstructed at the Salt Pond Visitors Center. We decided to not start that till the fall to give us the summer break without

heavy-duty construction going on down there during the summer program. So that's something we're very, very pleased about.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

If you haven't driven out to Fort Hill, I'd strongly encourage you to take a look at that wonderful paint job that was done at the Penniman House. shutters have now been put back by Stewart Painting. looks absolutely terrific. It's amazing how expensive these things are. So the Friends gave us -- the Friends of Cape Cod National Seashore gave us \$100,000 to match \$100,000 from the Park Service. That was just for the paint. Another \$60,000 for the shutters. And Kathy worked with our construction office that we now have \$500,000 towards redoing the barn. The barn is not just a paint job. The barn is actually a lot of structural work as well. And then we did some other landscaping. So at least the exterior, that will be an entire complex that will be restored, and then we're continuing to work on fixing the interior, which still has some water damage. We do have some money to one more time try to fix the roof where we think the water damage is coming in, and then we'll be able to do some indoor -- interior upgrading at that as well.

So I feel very, very good about that. That was not

1	exactly something we felt proud about by looking at it
2	in the condition it had been, so the fact it was fixed
3	up looks great.
4	MS. LYONS: So it was \$260,000 to paint that house?
5	MR. PRICE: To paint and to redo the modest type of
6	repair that had to be done.
7	MS. LYONS: Right, right, so that it wasn't just
8	another paint job in another two years.
9	MR. PRICE: Right.
10	MS. LYONS: Is that what people face when they
11	buy these historic homes and they have to is that
12	the going rate, like \$250,000? I mean, I know that
13	it's expensive. I mean, I had mine done, and I was
14	shocked. And I have a little tiny I mean, it's a
15	traditional house, but it's not a historic house. And
16	it's just
17	MR. PRICE: It is.
18	MS. LYONS: Well, thank you for doing that.
19	MR. PRICE: These things cost a lot of money these
20	days.
21	Judy?
22	MS. STEPHENSON: Yes. I mean, yesterday it
23	actually doesn't even look real.

MS. LYONS: Wow.

24

1	MS. STEPHENSON: Beautiful. It was really shocking
2	to see it up there.
3	MR. PRICE: And the first question everybody asks
4	me, "Is that the authentic paint color?" The answer is
5	yes.
6	MS. LYONS: Is yes. And the design of the stripe
7	too.
8	MR. PRICE: So Captain Penniman so when he first
9	built the house, it was built in a different color, and
10	then when Captain Penniman painted again, he went with
11	the more Victorian colors, which is what you see there.
12	I'll tell you, Victorian colors were not drab.
13	MS. AVELLAR: No, they weren't.
14	MS. LYONS: No.
15	MR. PRICE: If you've ever seen, you know, the
16	so-called painted ladies, you know, the real fancy
17	houses, they could be pretty fancy.
18	MS. AVELLAR: Right. Come see the inside of the
19	Provincetown Town Hall.
20	MS. LYONS: Yes, exactly.
21	MR. PRICE: So August 25 is the actual date that
22	the legislation was passed, the Organic Act for the
23	National Park Service, and we'll be having a full day of
24	activities. That's the day of our science symposium.

1 We'll have a science fair, and we're working on some 2 other special things there on that day. So that's the 3 last Thursday in August, okay? MS. LYONS: I have a question. So I have gone to the theater, and I have seen commercials about, you 5 know, the celebration and more parks and letting people 7 -- you know, advertising to the parks and the area to all of our benefit. Has there been a -- is there 8 9 evidence of increased people going? Is there more 10 interest? Are there more donations through membership, 11 or has there been any --12 MR. PRICE: Well, it's not a membership thing I mean, certainly our major benefactors have 13 per se. 14 contributed substantially. There's the Find Your Park 15 banner that's in the lobby, and you'll see the five 16 major contributors like Humana and Disney and those groups. And they've done a lot of things with the money 17 18 that they've contributed. I understand in some parks 19 more closer to the urban areas there might be a big 20 change in visitation. 21 So I think I agree with you. The word is out. 22 mean, whether it's -- I guess National Geographic now is 23 doing a special on the parks each month. The CBS TV show Sunday Morning is doing a piece on national parks 24

every time, so hopefully the word is getting out. We've been concerned for a long time about the demographics reaching younger people, reaching the nontraditional audiences, specifically minority audiences. We want to make sure national parks are relevant to the future, which is why even our staff here has been doing Facebook and all the social media to try to reach out to other groups.

Nationally they did the Every Kid in a Park program with graders -- so that fourth-graders and their families could get into a park for free in the centennial year. And other outreach areas, outreach opportunities. The Healthy Parks, Healthy People Program, getting people to come out and explore the out of doors for the health benefits, not just, say, the cultural or natural environmental benefits that they'd get.

MS. LYONS: It's really important because the less people pay attention to the parks, the more vulnerable they are because I am aware, you know, because of my relationship with Glacier Park, there is a big controversy now that they're trying to take over a portion of that for drilling, all kinds of exploration. It's really — these have been put aside because they

are -- they're part of our humanity, and they are

constantly under threat, but more so in these recent

years, and I think if there's less -- if there's less of

an identification of self to those parks and that to our

national identity, they are really under attack and can

be taken very easily.

So on that note, I support all your efforts.

8 MR. DELANEY: Thanks, Sheila.

MR. PRICE: Just a couple of other items I just wanted to highlight.

HERRING RIVER WETLAND RESTORATION

MR. PRICE: So the Herring River wetland restoration project is continuing. We're having regular meetings with the Friends and the technical committee on a lot of the background on that. And then we continue to have meetings with the Town of Wellfleet and the Town of Truro on the MOU 3 piece of that. We're hoping that the equivalent of the environmental assessment will be wrapped up by the spring. You might have been reading — there's been a number of articles in the newspaper about the future of the roads and whether to go in this direction or that direction. It's a very, very complicated process. It's still not — it's not one and done. Even after we have this plan, say, approved both

1	by the county and by the committee, the Park Service,
2	and the towns, it's going to take a long time to
3	actually proceed with the implementation of it.
4	HIGHLANDS CENTER UPDATE
5	MR. PRICE: The Highlands Center, I just wanted to
6	mention we confirmed I'm sorry, Judy?
7	MS. STEPHENSON: I just wondered if you were going
8	to do a Nauset Spit update because that was before
9	those.
10	MR. PRICE: Well, it's just that that's one of
11	these items that stays on the list, but I don't have any
12	I don't have any new information for you.
13	MS. STEPHENSON: Okay.
14	MR. PRICE: Okay. It's still continuing it's
15	fair to say it's still a dispute between the Town of
16	MS. STEPHENSON: Eastham and Orleans.
17	MR. PRICE: Eastham, Orleans, and the National Park
18	Service.
19	MS. GREEN: George, I have a question about the
20	Highland about the Herring River project.
21	MR. PRICE: Yes.
22	MS. GREEN: So you said that once the plan is
23	approved by the Commission. You mean the Cape Cod
24	Commission?

1	MR. PRICE: Yes.
2	MS. GREEN: So just every step of the way is going
3	through the Cape Cod Commission? Is it DRI?
4	MR. PRICE: Help me with the acronym that needed to
5	happen for a county planning approval. So it's
6	MS. LYONS: District of Critical
7	MR. DELANEY: DRI?
8	MS. GREEN: DRI?
9	MR. PRICE: No. No, it was the commissioners
10	came up with the Wellfleet Council on Aging. We had a
11	joint meeting because we were going through the EIS
12	process, and we were going through is it the MEPA
13	process?
14	MR. DELANEY: MEPA, Massachusetts Environmental
15	Protection.
16	MS. LYONS: Yes.
17	MR. PRICE: Would that have been
18	MS. LYONS: And a DCPC. That's the District of
19	Critical Planning Concern.
20	MR. PRICE: No, this was
21	MR. COOK: Development of Regional Impact?
22	MR. PRICE: No. Sorry. That it required so the
23	county actually had to have so we have parallel
24	processes going on.

1	MS. LYONS: Right.
2	AUDIENCE MEMBER: I am not going to help you,
3	George.
4	(Laughter.)
5	MR. PRICE: So it required both so the state
6	sign-off comes through the commission. There was a
7	commission meeting. We were able to do a parallel
8	process.
9	So, Lilli, to answer your question, it's not that
10	it goes, quote, through the commission, but there was
11	both the state and the federal process for the planning
12	effort here. And the name escapes me. It's not a
13	district. It was just the planning process.
14	MS. GREEN: So the Cape Cod Commission will have to
15	
16	MS. LYONS: It's the MEPA process.
17	MR. PRICE: I believe it's the MEPA process.
18	MS. LYONS: Yeah, I think it is the MEPA process.
19	MR. DELANEY: The state does a review, and the
20	Secretary of Environmental Affairs eventually will issue
21	a MEPA certificate.
22	MR. PRICE: Yes.
23	MS. LYONS: Right, so it has to go through all of
24	those review boards.

1	MR. DELANEY: But that is typically best done and
2	paralleled with any local process, which is when it's
3	happening through the Cape Cod Commission.
4	MR. PRICE: Right.
5	MR. DELANEY: And they do it at the same
6	(inaudible), and they both end up making a judgment,
7	hopefully the same one at the end.
8	MR. PRICE: Right.
9	MS. LYONS: Right.
10	MR. PRICE: So at the end of this, there'll be in
11	essence the sign-off from both the feds and the state to
12	the county commission. So that's I think it was just
13	MEPA. I think that's what it was.
14	MS. LYONS: Yeah, I think so.
15	AUDIENCE MEMBER: I just can't remember the name of
16	
	it either (inaudible).
17	<pre>it either (inaudible). (Laughter.)</pre>
17 18	
	(Laughter.)
18	(Laughter.) MS. LYONS: (Inaudible).
18 19	(Laughter.) MS. LYONS: (Inaudible). AUDIENCE MEMBER: I knew that.
18 19 20	(Laughter.) MS. LYONS: (Inaudible). AUDIENCE MEMBER: I knew that. MR. PRICE: So anyway so yes, there's still
18 19 20 21	<pre>(Laughter.) MS. LYONS: (Inaudible). AUDIENCE MEMBER: I knew that. MR. PRICE: So anyway so yes, there's still even once that plan gets approved doesn't mean that</pre>

1	MR. DELANEY: And, George, just to elaborate a
2	little bit more for people. Those processes basically
3	gather data and verify all the issues. So it's a good
4	information document but upon which subsequent permits
5	can be issued. So it's really you're right. It's
6	not the end of the process.
7	MR. PRICE: Right.
8	MR. DELANEY: But it's the end of the information
9	and assessment process.
10	MR. PRICE: Right.
11	MR. DELANEY: So that everybody in the future with
12	their local conservation commission, state DEP, any
13	other agency that has to actually issue a permit for
14	this will have the same common knowledge and evaluation
15	process to work with. It's actually very helpful. It's
16	sometimes long and laborious, but once you get there,
17	then there's a common understanding of what the project
18	and its potential impacts are to go forward rather than
19	each agency replicating that discovery period.
20	MR. PRICE: Right, which is why we did it parallel.
21	Not parallel, tandem to make sure we're all on the same
22	page.
23	MR. DELANEY: Good.
24	MR. PRICE: So I believe we actually do have the

project for the demo at the Highlands Center locked in for the fall. I think the question just is how many buildings. Again, this is another project that the cost just escalates because of the issues. So what we had hoped was we were going to have a whole number of buildings go through demolition, and these are buildings at the North Truro Air Force facility and the Highlands Center that mostly the excessive asbestos that's in them makes them ineligible for adaptive reuse.

So we hope we're still going to get at least five of these buildings demolished, but we had hoped that we were going to be able to get a lot more.

MS. BURGESS: I think you were down to twelve, weren't you? Eleven or twelve?

MR. PRICE: We hoped there'd be as many as eleven or twelve, so we're still in that process of figuring out how much money we're actually going to get, how many buildings we'll be able to take down. But if we were able to get the five down, at least that would be the core campus, which would really make a big -- I think a big improvement up there at least from a cosmetic point of view because we're still working with Payomet, we're still working with Castle Hill, we're still working with our science program and other possible partners on

1 developing a campus up there, and until we get these 2 eyesores out of the way, it's problematic. That's for 3 sure. NPS POLICY ON THE USE OF UAS - DRONES 5 MR. PRICE: Last time -- slipping again, last time we reviewed, Rich, although I think you might have had 6 7 to step out, about the drone policy. 8 MR. DELANEY: I missed that. I had to leave early, 9 yes. 10 MR. PRICE: So just to recap very quickly, the use 11 of drones in national parks had been severely curtailed 12 a couple of years ago. The national parks had some pretty negative experiences right in a row with drones 13 14 being taken out by individuals; that they were harassing 15 wildlife, they were harassing visitors, and, in fact, 16 they were interfering with some of the aerial firefighting planes out in the West. They had to 17 18 actually ground those airplanes that were trying to dump fire retardant and everything else because somebody had 19 20 a drone up there. 21 So the director of the Park Service put a Service-22 wide prohibition with very tight restrictions for research. So the research had to benefit the Park 23 24 Service and the park, and there was a very elaborate

1	permit process to go through. And you might have read
2	in the paper we actually did a project the week before
3	last out here at Nauset Marsh with a drone. So
4	basically we went though all the steps with the USGS
5	scientists and our own scientists. It was a
6	collaborative project with Dr. Sophia Fox and our
7	program here. They worked with the office of USGS out
8	of Woods Hole. So we had to get a number of people
9	signing off on this, including our own regional
10	director, including the aeronautics people, aviation
11	officers in the Park, in the Region, and in Washington,
12	and then a final decide by the associate director of the
13	Park Service for operations before they could get a
14	permit. So it was a pretty elaborate process. It
15	really took a long time.
16	MS. STEPHENSON: What was their research in?
17	MR. PRICE: The research basically for this project
18	right now is the first preliminary step of how effective
19	drones could be used for shoreline change. So they
20	basically were doing a grid over Nauset Marsh.
21	The people in D.C. were very excited about this
22	because they have already given out permits in other
23	parts of the country, but this project was using a drone
24	that was kind of the latest and greatest. It was very

1	quiet. It wasn't really they said some of the drones
2	are ex-military and sound like flying chainsaws.
3	They're very noisy and disruptive. So everybody was
4	pleased about this. We started to get some of the
5	information from this, and we'll be continuing further
6	permits with them in the future for sure. We were the
7	first park in our entire region to push through a
8	permit. I mean, that's how difficult it is.
9	There are people that are deciding to use drones on
10	their own.
11	Mary-Jo, you had said that you saw one up at
12	Herring Cove Beach. I actually saw that video. It was
13	posted on the Facebook page, and someone identified
14	themselves as the friend of a friend who actually did
15	that. I said, "Please tell the friend of the friend
16	that he'll get a citation and a fine if they're caught."
17	MS. AVELLAR: They were quite proud of it.
18	MR. PRICE: And then we have given out citations.
19	I personally saw someone with a drone buzzing the gray
20	seals off of Coast Guard Beach a couple of months ago.
21	MS. AVELLAR: We have an airport in Provincetown,
22	so it's even more important that there aren't any drones
23	out there. That's for sure.
24	MR. PRICE: Right. And Butch and I have spoken

1	because he gets approached and I get approached. And
2	there are two projects that came to me that might have
3	involved the airport, and Butch and I are very solid.
4	If Butch isn't happy, I'm not happy and vice versa when
5	it comes to the Provincetown Airport. Neither one of
6	those other projects have come forward yet, so this is
7	the only one that made the jump. So if you're wondering
8	why it was in the paper or what the deal was, that's it.
9	I'm very concerned that others are going to say,
10	"See, they're flying drones with (inaudible) out there,"
11	but that's
12	MS. STEPHENSON: There was one on Nauset Beach last
13	summer.
14	MR. PRICE: not the case.
15	MS. STEPHENSON: At the public beach. At the
16	public beach.
17	MR. PRICE: In Orleans?
18	MS. STEPHENSON: Yes, like 7 o'clock at night in
19	July.
20	MR. PRICE: Then in that case that's the Town of
21	Orleans managing that.
22	MS. STEPHENSON: I didn't know they were illegal.
23	I don't know if Orleans has a rule that they're illegal.
24	MR. DELANEY: Larry might be able to respond to

1	that.
2	MR. SPAULDING: No, I was going to ask a question.
3	MR. DELANEY: Oh, okay. I don't know, but I do
4	know that many towns have made inquiries to the Cape Cod
5	Chamber of Commerce by chance and in my group looking
6	for model bylaws. So the set the set regulation or
7	the policy of the Park is actually one of the first
8	around here that any agency has done, but
9	MS. STEPHENSON: Maybe it wasn't illegal at that
10	time when they were doing it.
11	MR. DELANEY: Well, maybe George could help us.
12	The FAA is about to institute or has just in the last
13	year a requirement that certain sizes and types of
14	drones require a license or an operator to record his or
15	her self in a registry of some sort. So the FAA is
16	really taking a national look at this because it's a big
17	deal for airline safety and aviation safety.
18	MR. PRICE: Right.
19	MR. DELANEY: Local areas are looking at it for
20	just as a nuisance problem, and natural resource
21	agencies are looking at it because of the disturbance on
22	wildlife and so forth. And researchers, like my group,
23	are looking at it because as an example, George has
24	mentioned having the ability to fly and record Coast

1 Guard Beach a week before a storm and then go right out 2 after the storm and have pre- and post-recordings from 3 the same location of a drone would be an amazing bit of information for research. MR. PRICE: I also was approached by a fire chief 5 6 because they're looking at drones and emergency services 7 for search and rescue. And they had a very specific example last year when they just wanted to be successful 8 9 in a very short period of time as opposed to using 10 manpower that would take a long period of time and be hit or miss and a family is concerned about their loved 11 12 one and all that sort of thing. 13 MS. LYONS: That's so scary. 14 MR. PRICE: I know. I think there's still a long 15 discussion of it. At this point the Park Service is still very tight and very restrictive that I, as the 16 superintendent, have to justify that this is for the 17 18 benefit of the Seashore in furtherance of our information. 19 20 MR. DELANEY: Just one more quick example, and then 21 Larry's going to -- just on the ocean side with NOAA, 22 National Oceanic Atmospheric, is wrestling with the same 23 issue like the National Park is, but some of our 24 colleagues have flown drones over the top of a whale

1	when it exhales and collects the (inaudible).
2	MS. LYONS: I just heard that.
3	MR. DELANEY: And the information that's contained
4	in that is unbelievable, but yet the knee-jerk reaction
5	from the rest of us is that's how that, actually, is
6	not even knee-jerk. That's technically uptake or
7	harassment of an endangered species. So again, the
8	balancing, the research benefit versus the harassment of
9	the species.
10	Larry, you were going to ask a question?
11	MR. SPAULDING: George, are you the issuing
12	authority, or does it go somewhere else for a drone
13	permit?
14	MR. PRICE: No, it has to be signed by the
15	associate director in Washington of the Park Service.
16	MR. SPAULDING: Then just my other comment. I see
17	a real future for drones with all the issues we've had
18	with sharks and trying to monitor the beaches at some
19	point where both the Seashore and the towns would be
20	their own issuing authority and have their own drones
21	properly managed because we've seen all the summer
22	closings of beaches and we saw the issues where the
23	sharks were very close to the swimmers. It would be
24	good to be able to monitor that.

MR. PRICE: Actually, our white shark working group has been looking at that, and it was an outfit in California that was trying that. At this point the technology isn't there, Larry. The battery life of these things are not there. The clarity, the visuals is not as good as you would think. Even the spotter planes going out it's a hit or miss. And one of the issues with the white sharks was just a very real problem, and we're working with -- working on this all the time, is that there's a number of things we can put in place that might make people feel good, but it's not truly moving the public safety as far as you would think.

I'll give you an example. We just had the -- we were fortunate to have the person who's in charge of the shark spotter program from South Africa come up and meet with this working group. And Greg Skomal was there, and we had representatives from all the different towns.

And they were talking about spotters that can sit high up and actually see the animals nearby. But they showed us the configuration of their beaches, and they're very much like -- you know, like a horseshoe beach. And you put the spotter on a high end on one end, and you have very little water, and it's a lot of good water clarity, so it's fairly easy to pick up the animals. We don't

1 have that kind of water clarity here. And you would 2 think the spotter plane will do it, and you think the drone will do it, and it's really a false -- false 3 assumption at this point. So we're continuing to work with what is, in fact, the best way. So we're working 5 on this diligently all the time. 6 7 Just a very quick aside. The one thing we did borrow from them is when you go to one of our 8 9 lifeguarded beaches, you notice the different color 10 flags. Usually green means everything's fine. means the water's too bad or whatever. We have had a 11 12 purple flag traditionally meaning marine life, which 13 usually means 14 MS. LYONS: Jellyfish? 15 MR. PRICE: -- jellyfish. Occasionally it's been if a shark has been spotted. Well, now that purple flag 16 actually has the profile of a shark on it so that 17 18 there's no misunderstanding, especially if someone is not familiar with the area, not familiar with the flag 19 20 system or not speaking English. This is a very clear indication of what's out there. And I believe the other 21 22 towns are going to adopt that as well, but we've already 23 received ours. 24 MS. BURGESS: I happened to see it the other day

when I stopped in and Leslie was showing you. Are you going to have it permanently at the lifeguard stations just to make people aware that they're out there or only when you've substantiated that they're really there?

MR. PRICE: Yeah, pretty much when we believe that they are. The SOP right now is if there's a shark sighting or somebody has informed us that there's a shark in the vicinity, right now they have a very good communication system. So if there's a shark going north from Coast Guard Beach, then the other beaches key in and then the flags would go up. It's a question of whether you keep the shark sign up all the time or not. You probably would if you were in Chatham.

MS. LYONS: Right.

MS. AVELLAR: The other issue is real estate agents are starting to use drones. And I was in a meeting at my office the other day, and I told everybody, "If you have any listings in the Seashore, don't take the drone out. It's against the law." But it's more and more prevalent now because of these virtual tours and all these kind of stuff.

MS. LYONS: So one thing that isn't stated here is there is still a privacy. I mean, there is sort of invasion of everyone's privacy. So now you're taking

1	these the National Seashore is one place you feel
2	like you can go around and you know, do they put
3	cameras in here? I mean, there are cameras everywhere.
4	MS. AVELLAR: Well, there are cameras everywhere
5	out there.
6	MS. LYONS: I know. I know. But there are cameras
7	everywhere we go now, and there are very few places
8	where you can just kind of walk and be by yourself or
9	really feel disconnected. And I just have a problem
10	with them.
11	MS. AVELLAR: Yeah, well, so, Sheila, you're going
12	to support me when they want to put a cell tower out at
13	Provincetown Airport and then everybody can use their
14	phone at the beach.
15	MS. LYONS: Yeah.
16	MS. AVELLAR: And annoy everybody at the beach.
17	MS. LYONS: Right, right. I mean, there are
18	sometimes that you have to be forced people do need
19	to be forced to not be able to use their phone. But
20	going back to the sharks, you know, they were just
21	coming out with the studies of the sharks that had been
22	tagged.
23	MR. PRICE: Yes.
24	MS. LYONS: And it was amazing that the shark that

1	was rescued by all the beachgoers
2	MS. AVELLAR: (Inaudible).
3	MS. LYONS: can actually did live. I mean,
4	he wasn't
5	MS. BURGESS: That's in your harbor.
6	MS. LYONS: It was my harbor. Well, we're just
7	good people here. You know, we love all species.
8	But I thought that that was pretty interesting
9	because there was more of them here in the off-season as
10	though the activity or sonar of people and activity kind
11	of kept them. And they were looking for the seals. So
12	there's a big outcry about "The seals are eating our
13	fish. We should go outside shooting and clubbing the
14	seals." Well, it's kind of a natural nature is
15	taking over here. So there is a balance. There's a
16	welcomeness to these sharks because they are taking care
17	of a nuisance or an overpopulation. I just feel that if
18	you can tag more I mean, there's more information it
19	seems to me that comes out, real live
20	MR. PRICE: Right, I think the thing
21	MS. LYONS: sharks that have been traveling.
22	MR. PRICE: We learned from this doctor from South
23	Africa. I can't remember her name right now. So South
24	Africa has dealt with these shark attacks for years, and

1 they're very similar to white sharks. And they 2 basically have one to two fatalities a year. When it 3 reached four, that was more than the community could bear, which is where they really got the support for the shark watching program. But even with the shark 5 6 watching program, there are still fatalities, especially 7 with people that are not abiding by the recommendations. There was somebody who the red flag was up. They were 8 told by somebody, "Don't go out." He still went out and 9 10 lost a leg, but because there were so many other people 11 around, he didn't die. But I'm not sure our community 12 is interested in one to two fatalities a year. 13 MS. LYONS: No. 14 MR. PRICE: So everybody that's involved with 15 public safety on our beaches for all towns is very 16 concerned about how we do this. South Africa is also very interested in the continuation of the species as 17 18 well, so they also are studying it the same way (inaudible) studying it with -- whether it's tracking 19 20 devices or different things to understand what's 21 happening with this animal. 22 Going back to the drones, though, my position is 23 twofold. One, we, yes, need to protect the wildlife

from being harassed, but you're absolutely right.

24

1	want to protect the visitors from being harassed. We're
2	very concerned about privacy in that respect.
3	Mr. Chair.
4	MR. DELANEY: Is there any written policy yet on
5	these drones at a national level?
6	MR. PRICE: Yes.
7	MR. DELANEY: Is that available?
8	MR. PRICE: Yes.
9	MS. AVELLAR: No drone zones.
10	MR. PRICE: That's about it.
11	MR. DELANEY: Any questions on drones?
12	(No response.)
13	MR. DELANEY: I guess that's it on your report.
14	Thank you, Superintendent.
15	Any other questions for the superintendent on other
16	topics that he didn't cover?
17	(No response.)
18	MR. DELANEY: Okay, then hearing none, the next
19	item would be Old Business.
20	OLD BUSINESS
21	MR. DELANEY: Anyone like to go back to an issue
22	from previous meetings?
23	(No response.)
24	MR. DELANEY: We usually list Live Lightly

1	Campaign. Mark Robinson's not here tonight. He's on a
2	well-earned vacation in the British Virgin Islands.
3	MS. LYONS: Oh, nice.
4	MS. AVELLAR: Oh, nice.
5	MS. LYONS: Is it the British Virgin Islands?
6	MR. DELANEY: Yeah. But we'll hear an update at
7	the next meeting, which is I guess our next item of
8	business. Oh, no, New Business.
9	NEW BUSINESS
10	MR. DELANEY: Any other new business?
11	(No response.)
12	DATE AND AGENDA FOR NEXT MEETING
13	MR. DELANEY: Okay, then setting the date for our
13 14	MR. DELANEY: Okay, then setting the date for our next meeting. It's usually two months. Sometime in
14	next meeting. It's usually two months. Sometime in
14 15	next meeting. It's usually two months. Sometime in May?
14 15 16	next meeting. It's usually two months. Sometime in May? MR. PRICE: Yes, I'm recommending the May 16 or the
14 15 16 17	next meeting. It's usually two months. Sometime in May? MR. PRICE: Yes, I'm recommending the May 16 or the 23rd.
14 15 16 17	next meeting. It's usually two months. Sometime in May? MR. PRICE: Yes, I'm recommending the May 16 or the 23rd. MR. DELANEY: Does anyone have a significant
14 15 16 17 18	next meeting. It's usually two months. Sometime in May? MR. PRICE: Yes, I'm recommending the May 16 or the 23rd. MR. DELANEY: Does anyone have a significant problem with either one of those days?
14 15 16 17 18 19	next meeting. It's usually two months. Sometime in May? MR. PRICE: Yes, I'm recommending the May 16 or the 23rd. MR. DELANEY: Does anyone have a significant problem with either one of those days? THE COURT REPORTER: I do.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	next meeting. It's usually two months. Sometime in May? MR. PRICE: Yes, I'm recommending the May 16 or the 23rd. MR. DELANEY: Does anyone have a significant problem with either one of those days? THE COURT REPORTER: I do. MS. STEPHENSON: I do. Both days.

1	MR. DELANEY: 16th. Well, you are kind of key.
2	(To Mr. Spaulding) You have the 23rd?
3	MR. SPAULDING: Can't make it.
4	MR. DELANEY: (To Ms. Stephenson) And you have
5	both.
6	We're on a pretty solid Monday kind of schedule.
7	George, what's another day in May?
8	MR. PRICE: Well, the other thing is, frankly, we
9	can push it to June.
10	MR. DELANEY: As far as I can tell, there's no
11	issue that requires timely comments or reaction from us.
12	MR. PRICE: Correct.
13	MR. DELANEY: If we went to the beginning of June,
14	does that help you, Judith?
15	MR. PRICE: June 6 or June 13? Oh, wait a minute.
16	(Pause.)
17	MS. AVELLAR: June 6?
18	MR. PRICE: June 6.
19	MR. DELANEY: June 6, I'm just looking. Let me
20	think for a second.
21	MS. STEPHENSON: I can't do it, but
22	MR. DELANEY: You've already used up your two.
23	MS. STEPHENSON: Pardon?
24	MR. DELANEY: You've already used your two

1	MS. STEPHENSON: I know. I'm sorry.
2	MR. DELANEY: two mulligans.
3	(To the court reporter) All right, so June 6 okay?
4	THE COURT REPORTER: June 6 is fine.
5	MR. DELANEY: All right, hearing June 6, going
6	once. Going twice. Gone.
7	Sorry, Judy, we had to we don't want to push it
8	too late because that season is pretty busy.
9	MS. LYONS: Better luck next year.
10	MS. STEPHENSON: If we met in the summer
11	MR. DELANEY: Speaking of dates, I wanted to
12	comment earlier. Of course, this Thursday is a special
13	day, and I'm very happy to see all the green. Green
14	turtlenecks, green sweaters, green ties. Thank you for
15	happy St. Patrick's Day to everybody.
16	MS. STEPHENSON: Delaney is the first. And what
17	was your name?
18	MS. LYONS: Keenan.
19	MS. STEPHENSON: Keating?
20	MS. LYONS: Keenan.
21	MS. AVELLAR: McGrath was my mother. My mother was
22	McGrath, McCarthys.
23	MS. STEPHENSON: Our side of the table.
24	MR. DELANEY: You did very well. I'm proud of you.

1	MR. PRICE: Public comment?
2	MR. DELANEY: Public comment period.
3	PUBLIC COMMENT
4	MR. DELANEY: I will open the floor to the public
5	for comments on any topic or new issues.
6	Yes, sir, please just identify yourself, and we'll
7	go from there.
8	AUDIENCE MEMBER (GEORGE WIENARD) (phonetic):
9	Certainly. My name is George Wienard (phonetic). I'm a
10	private citizen today, but I spent my life working for
11	FEMA, an environmental specialist. And so a couple of
12	comments I have.
13	On the drone overflights, we're evaluating those as
14	well to try to measure the actual erosion of the beach
15	area. Of course, FEMA pays based upon erosion of the
16	beach. And with LIDAR I think the precision is plus or
17	minus two inches, and we're very interested in that,
18	although we haven't gotten very far. So if you have any
19	thoughts and advice on it, that's my comment, number
20	one.
21	MR. DELANEY: I would like to suggest that both my
22	coastal geologist his name is Mark Borrelli and
23	the Cape Cod National Seashore's geologist, Mark Adams,
24	would both be very interested in connecting with you in

1	regard to the use of LIDAR.
2	MR. PRICE: We actually we have intermittent
3	LIDAR flight to (inaudible).
4	MR. DELANEY: The Center for Coastal Studies, we've
5	done it a couple of times now for our projects in
6	Nantucket.
7	MR. PRICE: We have had LIDAR flights, and it
8	happens like every four or five years, but I can't
9	remember which agency would work on the LIDAR.
10	AUDIENCE MEMBER (MR. WIENARD) (phonetic): We'd be
11	very interested. We're considering developing or having
12	someone develop for us LIDAR equipment on a drone, which
13	would make it very inexpensive to actually measure the
14	erosion rate. So that would be
15	MR. PRICE: Well, before you leave I'll give you
16	Mark Adams' contact information, and he works with the
17	Center for Coastal
18	MR. DELANEY: And I'll do the same.
19	AUDIENCE MEMBER (MR. WIENARD) (phonetic): And
20	second I have a second comment. The second comment
21	is connected with the long-eared bat, and I wonder if
22	you know Susi von Oettingen.
23	MR. PRICE: Very well.
24	MR. COOK: Yes.

1	AUDIENCE MEMBER (MR. WIENARD) (phonetic): I rest
2	my case.
3	MR. DELANEY: Thank you.
4	For everybody else, Susi is with the U.S. Fish and
5	Wildlife Service, and she works with us on endangered
6	species. And we spend most of our time with her working
7	on the shorebird management plan and the operations.
8	She also works with the state, with the towns of Orleans
9	and Chatham on their shorebird management plan, but
10	again, it's not just all about birds. But also she's
11	involved with bats and other endangered species.
12	We just had a meeting with her like two weeks ago
13	on the bat program actually.
14	MR. DELANEY: Any other comments from the public?
15	(No response.)
16	ADJOURNMENT
17	MR. DELANEY: Okay, hearing none, I will entertain
18	a motion to adjourn.
19	MS. BURGESS: So moved.
20	MR. DELANEY: Second?
21	MS. STEPHENSON: Second.
22	MR. DELANEY: All those in favor, signify by saying
23	aye.
24	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.

Τ	MS. AVELLAR: I always tell you you don't need
2	second on a motion to adjourn.
3	MR. DELANEY: Okay, take care.
4	(Whereupon, at 2:42 p.m. the proceedings were
5	adjourned.)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

PLYMOUTH, SS

I, <u>Linda M. Corcoran</u>, a Court Reporter and Notary

Public in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, do

hereby certify that:

The foregoing 76 pages comprises a true, complete, and accurate transcript to the best of my knowledge, skill, and ability of the proceedings of the meeting of the Cape Cod National Seashore Advisory Commission at Marconi Station Area, Park Headquarters, South Wellfleet, Massachusetts, on Monday, March 14, 2016, commencing at 1:11 p.m.

 $\label{eq:condition} \mbox{I further certify that I am a disinterested person}$ to these proceedings.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and notarial seal this 12th day of May, 2016.

Linda M. Corcoran - Court Reporter My commission expires: August 28, 2020